

Some examples of noncommutative projective Calabi-Yau schemes

(arXiv:2209.12190)

Yuki Mizuno

Waseda University

A motivation

Notation k : alg closed fld of $\text{ch}(k) = 0$.

Algebraic geometry \cdots Study of **Varieties (Schemes)**
 \approx "Zero loci of polynomials"

- ▶ Projective vars (schs) = closed subvars (subschs) of \mathbb{P}^n .
- ▶ Calabi-Yau mfds M = cpt sm vars with $\omega_M \simeq \mathcal{O}_M$.

An example of proj CY mfds

- $M \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ def by $x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 = 0$

Then, M is denoted by

$$\text{Proj}(k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/(x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5)).$$

In general, we can define a proj sch $\text{Proj}(R)$ for any comm graded algebra R .

Questions

1. Can we consider noncommutative (NC) proj schs for NC gr algs R ?
2. Can we also consider NC proj CY schs ?

This talk

We give the notion of NC proj CY schs and two types of examples.

- NC analogues of hypersurs in weighted proj sps
- NC analogues of CI in products of proj sps

Plan

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Definition of NC proj schemes
- ▶ NC proj CY schemes
- ▶ Result 1
- ▶ Comparison and examples
- ▶ Result 2

Notation

- $k = \overline{k}$: alg clo fld of $\text{ch}(k) = 0$.

Introduction

- $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$: a comm fin gen gr k -alg.
- $\text{gr}(R)$: cat of fin gen gr R -mods.
- $\text{fdim}(R)$: cat of fin dim gr R -mods.

Theorem (Serre)

Suppose that R is generated by R_1 as a k -algebra.

Then,

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathbf{Proj}(R)) \simeq \mathbf{qgr}(R) \text{ } (:= \mathbf{gr}(R)/\mathbf{fdim}(R)).$$

Remark $\mathbf{qgr}(R)$ is the cat with

- $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{gr}(R)) = \text{Obj}(\mathbf{qgr}(R))$,
 - $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{qgr}(R)}(\pi(M), \pi(N)) = \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{gr}(R)}(M_{\geq n}, N_{\geq n})$
- , where $\pi : \mathbf{gr}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{qgr}(R)$ is the projection.

Remark

- ▶ When R is NOT generated by R_1 , the thm does NOT necessarily hold.
- ▶ $\pi(M) \simeq \pi(N) \iff M_{\geq n} \simeq N_{\geq n}$ for $n \gg 0$.

Theorem (Gabriel, Rosenberg)

X, Y : noeth schemes

Then,

$$\mathbf{Coh}(X) \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(Y) \Rightarrow X \simeq Y.$$

Slogan

$\text{qgr}(R)$ (or $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$) is essential !

NC proj schemes

- $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$: right noeth fin gen gr k -alg.
- $\text{qgr}(R) := \text{gr}(R)/\text{fdim}(R)$, which is the cat with
 - obj** same as the objs in $\text{gr}(R)$,
 - mor** $\text{Hom}_{\text{qgr}(R)}(\pi(M), \pi(N)) = \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}(R)}(M_{\geq n}, N_{\geq n})$.

Definition (NC proj schemes)

We call $(\text{qgr}(R), \pi(R))$ the projective scheme of R and denote it by $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}}(R)$.

Example

Let $q_{ij} \in k^\times$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n$.

$$k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})} := k\langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - q_{ij} x_j x_i)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

We call this algebra a **quantum polynomial ring**.

Remark

- ▶ $q_{ii} \neq 1 \Rightarrow x_i^2 = 0$.
- ▶ $q_{ij} q_{ji} \neq 1 \Rightarrow x_i x_j = x_j x_i = 0$.

NC proj CY schemes

Let X cpt sm var. $X : \text{CY} \xrightleftharpoons{\text{def}} \omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$.

Definition

\mathcal{D} : k -lin tri cat. (e.g. $D^b(X)$, $D^b(\text{qgr}(R))$)

A **Serre functor** of \mathcal{D} is a funct $S_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ s.t.

- ▶ $S_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an equiv,
- ▶ $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E, F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, S_{\mathcal{D}}(E))^{\vee}$.

* A Serre funct is uniquely determined if it exists .

Fact

$X : \text{sm proj var of dim } n$.

Then, $- \otimes \omega_X[n] : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$ is a Serre functor.

Remark $X : \text{CY} \Leftrightarrow S_{D^b(X)} \simeq [n]$.

Definition

\mathcal{C} : ab cat with enough inj. (e.g. $\text{Coh}(X)$, $\text{qgr}(R)$)

$$\text{gl.dim}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Sup}\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^n(E, F) \neq 0, \exists E, F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})\}.$$

We call $\text{gl.dim}(\mathcal{C})$ the **global dimension** of \mathcal{C} .

Fact

X : proj var.

Then, X is sm of dim $n \Leftrightarrow \text{gl.dim}(\text{Coh}(X)) = n$.

Definition

$\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}}(R) = (\text{qgr}(R), \pi(R))$ is a **proj CY n -scheme** if

- ▶ $\text{gl.dim}(\text{qgr}(R)) = n$,
- ▶ $\mathcal{S}_{D^b(\text{qgr}(R))} \simeq [n]$.

Theorem (Kanazawa '14)

- $A := k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})}/(x_0^{n+1} + \dots + x_n^{n+1})$ with $\deg(x_i) = 1$.

Suppose

1. $q_{ii} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1, \forall i, j.$
2. $q_{ij}^{n+1} = 1, \forall i, j.$

Then,

$\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}}(A)$ is a **CY ($n - 1$)-sch** iff $\prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij}$ is independent of j .
(i.e., $\exists c \in k^\times$ s.t. $c = \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij}$ for $\forall j$)

Remark

- ▶ Thm of Kanazawa \rightarrow NC analogue of Fermat hypersurfaces.
- ▶ 1, 2 \Rightarrow $\text{qgr}(A)$ is sm & $\mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)}$ exists.
- ▶ $\prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij} : \text{indep of } j \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)} \simeq [n - 1].$

Result 1

Theorem (M)

- $(d_0, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ satisfying $d_i \mid d_0 + \dots + d_n (=: d)$.
- $A := k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})}/(x_0^{d/d_0} + \dots + x_n^{d/d_n})$ with $\deg(x_i) = d_i$.

Suppose

1. $q_{ii} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1, \forall i, j.$
2. $q_{ij}^{d/d_i} = q_{ij}^{d/d_j} = 1, \forall i, j.$

Then,

$\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}}(A)$ is **CY ($n - 1$)-sch** iff $\exists c \in k^\times$ s.t. $c^{d_j} = \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij}$ for $\forall j$.

Remark

- ▶ When $d_i = 1$, then the thm recovers Kanazawa's theorem.
- ▶ the thm is a NC analogue of weighted Fermat hypersurfaces.

Ideas of the proof

1. Proving $\text{qgr}(A)$ is sm.
2. Calculating $\mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)}$

About (1)

$$C := k[y_0, \dots, y_n]/(y_0 + \dots + y_n) \hookrightarrow A \quad (y_i = x_i^{d/d_i}).$$

Then,

$$\text{qgr}(A) \simeq \text{qgr}(A^{[d]})$$

↙ This is called a quasi-Veronese alg by Mori

$$\simeq \text{Coh}(\widetilde{A^{[d]}}), \quad A^{[d]} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} A_{di} & A_{di+1} & \cdots & A_{di+d-1} \\ A_{di-1} & A_{di} & \cdots & A_{di+d-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{di-d+1} & A_{di-d+2} & \cdots & A_{di} \end{pmatrix}.$$

↷ Enough to show $\text{gl.dim}((A^{[d]})_{(y_i)}) = n - 1$.

↷ Taking a regular seq of $((A^{[d]})_{(y_i)})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ ($\forall \mathfrak{n} \subset C_{(y_i)}$ maxi ideal).

About (2)

↙ Yekutieli, Van den bergh

(a). $\mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)} \simeq \pi(- \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)')[-1]$.

- $\mathfrak{m} := A_{>0}$,
- $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) := \{m \in M \mid m|_{A_{\geq n}} = 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}\}$: bimod
- $M' := \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_k(M_{-i}, k)$.

↙ Reyes, Rogalski and Zhang

(b). $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)' \simeq {}^1B^{\mu}(-d)[n+1]$.

- $B := k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})}$.
- $\mu : B \rightarrow B, x_j \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij} x_j$.
- mod struct of ${}^1B^{\mu}$ is def by $l * m * r := l m \mu(r)$.

(c). $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)' \simeq {}^1A^{\mu}[n]$.

(\because) Remember that $A = B/(f)$ where $f := \sum x_i^{d/d_i}$.

$$0 \rightarrow B(-d) \xrightarrow{\times f} B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\rightsquigarrow R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)' \xrightarrow{\times f} R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)'(d) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)'[1].$$

Finally,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)} \simeq \pi(- \otimes_A {}^1 A^\mu)[n-1]$$

So,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{qgr}(A)} \simeq [n-1] \Leftrightarrow \pi(M^\mu) \simeq \pi(M) \quad (\forall M \in \text{gr}(A)).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists c \in k^\times \text{ s.t. } \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij} = c^{d_j} \quad \text{for all } j \quad (\star)$$

□

Remark

If (\star) holds, $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$, $\varphi(m) = c^{\deg(m)}m$ is an iso with no dependence on M .

Definition

A **quasi-sch**/k is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O})$, where

- ▶ k -lin ab cat \mathcal{C} . (e.g. $\text{Coh}(X)$, $\text{qgr}(R)$)
- ▶ $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$. (e.g. \mathcal{O}_X , $\pi(R)$)

Definition

$(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{O}')$: quasi-schs/k.

Then,

- ▶ a **mor** from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O})$ to $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{O}')$ is a pair (F, φ) s.t.
 $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ funct and $\varphi : F(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}'$.
- ▶ $(F, \varphi) : \text{iso} \Leftrightarrow F : \text{equiv.}$

Example

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ mor (resp.iso) of schs/k.

Then, f induces a natural mor (resp.iso) of quasi-schs/k

$$f^* : (\text{Coh}(Y), \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (\text{Coh}(X), \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Comparison & examples

We set $n = 3$.

Then, (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3) satisfying $d_i \mid \sum d_i$ & $\gcd(d_i) = 1$ is one of

$(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 2, 2), (1, 1, 2, 4), (1, 1, 4, 6), (1, 2, 2, 5),$

$(1, 2, 3, 6), (1, 2, 3, 9), (1, 3, 3, 4), (1, 6, 14, 21), (2, 3, 4, 4), (2, 3, 10, 15)$.

We choose $(1, 1, 2, 2)$ and

$$(q_{ij}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \omega^2 \\ 1 & 1 & \omega^2 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega := \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}.$$

Proposition

Under the choice above,

- ▶ $\text{Proj}_{nc}(A) \not\simeq (\text{Coh}(M), \mathcal{O}_M)$, (M : comm CY).
- ▶ $\text{Proj}_{nc}(A) \not\simeq$ “ NC CY by Kanazawa ”.

(Sketch of the proof)

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) : a pair of a noeth sch and a coh alg.

We define the sheaf of the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} as follows.

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})(U) := \{s \in \mathcal{A}(U) \mid s|_V \in Z(\mathcal{A}(V)), \forall V \subset U \text{ open}\}.$$

Remark $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})(U) = Z(\mathcal{A}(U))$ if U is affine.

Proposition (Burdon, Brozd '22)

$(X, \mathcal{A}), (Y, \mathcal{B})$: pairs of noeth schs and coh algs.

Then, $Coh(\mathcal{A}) \simeq Coh(\mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow Spec(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq Spec(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}))$.

- ▶ $Coh(\mathcal{A}) \simeq Coh(M) \Rightarrow Spec(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq M$.
- ▶ (Y, \mathcal{B}) : NC CY 2-sch of Kanazawa $\Rightarrow Spec(\mathcal{B})$: sm.
- ▶ However, $Spec(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}^{[2]})})$ is not sm.

Remark

We can prove a part of the prop by comparing their point schemes.

Result 2

Fact

- $S := k[x_0 \cdots, x_n]$, $T := k[y_0 \cdots, y_m]$.

We regard $S \otimes_k T$ as a \mathbb{Z}^2 -gr alg.

Let f_i be bihog polys in $S \otimes_k T$ ($i = 1 \cdots, r$).

Then,

subsch def by $\{f_1, \cdots, f_r\} \simeq \text{Proj}(\Delta(S \otimes T / (f_1, \cdots, f_r)))$.

- ※ $\deg(x_i) = (1, 0)$, $\deg(y_i) = (0, 1)$.
- ※ For any \mathbb{Z}^2 -gr alg R , $\Delta(R) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{ii}$.

Theorem (M)

$$X := \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}}(\Delta(S \otimes T/(f_1, f_2))).$$

(i)

- $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})}$.
- $T = k[y_0, \dots, y_m]_{(q'_{ij})}$.
- $f_1 = \sum x_i^{n+1}, f_2 = \sum y_j^{m+1}$.

Suppose

1. $q_{ii} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{n+1} = 1$
2. $q'_{ii} = q'_{ij}q'_{ji} = q'^{m+1}_{ij} = 1$

Then,

X is CY ($n + m - 2$)-sch

iff $\exists c, c' \in k^\times$ s.t.

$$c = \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij}, c' = \prod_{i=0}^m q'_{ij}.$$

(ii)

- $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(q_{ij})}$.
- $T = k[y_0, \dots, y_{n+1}]$.
- $f_1 = \sum x_i^{n+1}y_i, f_2 = \sum y_j^{n+1}$.

Suppose

$$q_{ii} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{n+1} = 1$$

Then,

X is CY ($2n - 1$)-sch

iff $\exists c \in k^\times$ s.t. $c = \prod_{i=0}^n q_{ij}$.

In (ii), a similar claim holds when $T = k[y_0, \dots, y_n]$ and $f_2 = \sum y_i^n$.

Ideas of the proof

We use $\text{qbigr}(C) := \text{bigr}(C)/\text{fdim}(C)$ ($C := S \otimes T/(f_1, f_2)$).
 \downarrow Rompay

In our case, $\text{qbigr}(C) \cong \text{qgr}(\Delta(C))$.

We show

1. $\text{qbigr}(C)$ is sm. \rightarrow We can prove as in MT1 (more easily).
 2. Calculating $S_{\text{qbigr}(C)}$. \rightarrow We prove **Key Lemma**.

Key Lemma

- $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{i,j > 0} C_{i,j}$,
 - $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) := \varinjlim \text{Hom}(C/\mathfrak{m}^n, M)$.

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\text{qbigr}(C)} \simeq \pi(- \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C'))[-1].$$

$$(ii) \quad \pi(- \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C)') \simeq \pi(- \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}'}(C)') \quad (\mathfrak{m}' := \oplus_{i+j>0} C_{i,j}).$$

* Calculating $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}'}(C)'$ is easier than $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C)'$.

Thank you for listening !